



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| 10/530,203 | 03/06/2006 | Stephane Jayet | 0579-1085 | 9616 |
| 466 7590 02/11/2009 YOUNG & THOMPSON 209 Madison Street Suite 500 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 | | | EXAMINER HAUPT, KRISTY A | |
| | | | ART UNIT 2876 | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | MAIL DATE 02/11/2009 | DELIVERY MODE PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/530,203

Applicant(s)

JAYET ET AL.

Examiner

KRISTY A. HAUPT

Art Unit

2876

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 April 2005.
2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected.
7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 06 March 2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-8508)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/4/05
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to Application 10/530,203 filed 06 March 2006.
Claims 1-23 are pending with claims 1 and 13 in independent form.

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The NPL document on the information disclosure statement filed 4/4/05 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) because it does not include a concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of each patent listed that is not in the English language. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered. The remaining art cited on the IDS has been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1, 5-7, 9, 13, 16-17 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Armuzzi et al. EP 1 143 688 A1 (as cited by Applicant)

Armuzzi teaches:

With respect to claim 1:

- A microcircuit card (Abstract) including means for receiving a command and means for modifying at least one characteristic of the performance of said card on reception of said command, the modification means being characterized in that they can be used after a step of personalization of said card (Page 2, Paragraphs 0010-0017, Page 3, Paragraphs 0026-0028 and Pages 2-3, Paragraphs 0029-0033)

With respect to claim 5 and incorporating all limitations of claim 1:

- Said receiver means are adapted to receive said command in accordance with an SMS protocol (Page 2, Paragraph 0017 and Page 3, Paragraphs 0026-0028)

With respect to claim 6 and incorporating all limitations of claim 1:

- Said means for modification of at least one performance characteristic are adapted to modify the size of a usable area of a physical memory of said card (Page 2, Paragraphs 0011-0012 and Page 3, Paragraphs 0026-0028 where the data is stored in memory on the card, therefore the size of a remaining usable area of memory is decreased)

With respect to claim 7 and incorporating all limitations of claim 6:

- Said modification of the size of a usable area of a physical memory is effected by creating (Page 2, Paragraphs 0011-0012 and Page 3,

Paragraphs 0026-0028) where a new program is created in memory), destroying at least one specific file or by modifying the size of at least one specific file comprised in said physical memory

With respect to claim 9 and incorporating all limitations of claim 1:

- Said means for modification of at least one performance characteristic are adapted to allow or prevent the use of at least one software function of said card, reversibly or not (Page 2, Paragraphs 0011-0012, Page 3, Paragraphs 0026-0028 and Page 4, Paragraphs 0030-0031 where the downloaded software services can be used)

With respect to claim 13, a method of configuring a microcircuit card characterized in that it comprises the following successive steps:

- Personalization of said card (Page 4, Paragraph 0031)
- Reception of a command (Page 2, Paragraphs 0007 and 0017-0018)
- Modification of at least one characteristic of the performance of the card on reception of said command (Pages 2-3, Paragraphs 0026-0033)

With respect to claim 16 and incorporating all limitations of claim 13:

- Said step of reception of a command conforms to an SMS protocol (Page 2, Paragraph 0017 and Page 3, Paragraphs 0026-0028)

With respect to claim 17 and incorporating all limitations of claim 13:

- During said step of modification of at least one performance characteristic, the size of a usable area of a physical memory of said card is modified (Page 2, Paragraphs 0011-0012 and Page 3, Paragraphs 0026-0028) where a new program is created in memory)

With respect to claim 20 and incorporating all limitations of claim 13:

- During said step of modification of at least one performance characteristic, the use of at least one software function of said card is allowed or prevented, reversibly or not (Page 2, Paragraphs 0011-0012, Page 3, Paragraphs 0026-0028 and Page 4, Paragraphs 0030-0031 where the downloaded software services can be used)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. Claims 1-4, 10-11, 13-15 and 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sloan US 6,273,335 B1 (as cited by Applicant).

With respect to claim 1:

- A microcircuit card including means for receiving a command and means for modifying at least one characteristic of the performance of said card on reception of said command (Column 6, Lines 36-49), the modification means being characterized in that they can be used after a step of

personalization of said card (Locking/unlocking applications takes place after the card has been personalized (Column 6, Lines 8-35)

With respect to claim 2 and incorporating all limitations of claim 1:

- Means for authenticating the sender of said command (Abstract and Column 6, Lines 36-49 where the command includes the password and CID number of the owner of the card)

With respect to claim 3 and incorporating all limitations of claim 2:

- Characterized in that the authenticating means comprise a secret authentication key (Column 6, Lines 24-35 and Column 7, Lines 11-33)

With respect to claim 4 and incorporating all limitations of claim 1:

- The modification means are adapted to determine said at least one performance characteristic as a function of a predetermined instruction received in said command (Column 6, Lines 36-49)

With respect to claim 10 and incorporating all limitations of claim 1:

- Said means for modification of at least one performance characteristic are adapted to allow or prevent the use of all or part of an electronic circuit of said card, reversibly or not (Column 6, Lines 36-49 where an application

within a smart card device can be locked and prevented from use or unlocked and able to be used)

With respect to claim 11 and incorporating all limitations of claim 10:

- Said electronic circuit is a cryptographic unit (Column 6, Lines 8-34)

With respect to claim 13, a method of configuring a microcircuit card characterized in that it comprises the following successive steps:

- Personalization of said card (Column 6, Lines 8-34)
- Reception of a command (Column 6, Lines 36-49 where the command to unlock/lock card is sent to smart card)
- Modification of at least one characteristic of the performance of the card on reception of said command (Column 6, Lines 36-49 where the smart card locks/unlocks an application after it receives the command to do so)

With respect to claim 14 and incorporating all limitations of claim 13:

- Said reception step is followed by a step of authentication of the sender of said command (Abstract and Column 6, Lines 36-49 where the command includes the password and CID number of the owner of the card)

With respect to claim 15 and incorporating all limitations of claim 13:

- During said modification step, said at least one performance characteristic is determined as a function of a predetermined instruction received in said command (Column 6, Lines 36-49 where an application in a smart card is locked/unlocked after a command is sent instructing it to do so)

With respect to claim 21 and incorporating all limitations of claim 13:

- During said step of modification of at least one performance characteristic, the use of all or part of an electronic circuit of said card is allowed or prevented, reversibly or not (Column 6, Lines 36-49 where an application within a smart card device can be locked and prevented from use or unlocked and able to be used)

With respect to claim 22 and incorporating all limitations of claim 21:

- Said electronic component is a cryptographic unit (Column 6, Lines 8-34)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

7. Claims 12 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sloan US 6,273,335 B1 (as cited by Applicant) as modified by Lin et al. EP 1 223 565 A1 (as cited by Applicant).

The teachings of Sloan have been discussed above.

Sloan fails to teach:

With respect to claims 12 and 23:

- Means adapted to verify that said command is unique

However, Lin teaches:

With respect to claims 12 and 23 and incorporating all limitations of claims 1 and 13 respectively:

- Means adapted to verify that said command is unique (Page 3, Paragraph 0033)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to verify that the command is unique, as taught by Lin, to eliminate the possibility of replay-type attacks (Page 3, Paragraph 0033 of Lin).

8. Claims 8 and 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sloan US 6,273,335 B1 (as cited by Applicant) in view of Sato US 2002/0103891 A1.

The teachings of Sloan have been discussed above.

Sloan fails to teach:

With respect to claims 8 and 19:

- A clock frequency of the card is modified, reversibly or not (Figure 14 teaches changing the maximum operation clock speed where the contents of the management information storage area are rewritable, therefore can be changed multiple times

With respect to claim 18:

- During the modification of the size of a usable area of a physical memory at least one specific file included in the physical memory is created, or destroyed or the size of at least one specific file included in the physical memory is modified

However, Sato teaches:

With respect to claims 8 and 19:

- A clock frequency of the card is modified, reversibly or not (Also see Page 3, Paragraphs 0055, 0062 and 0076 and Page 5, Paragraphs 0102-0104))

With respect to claim 18:

- During the modification of the size of a usable area of a physical memory at least one specific file included in the physical memory is created, or destroyed or the size of at least one specific file included in the physical memory is modified (Page 6, Paragraphs 0125 - 0135)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Sloan to allow a clock frequency to be modified, as taught by Sato, to allow the user to maximize the performance characteristics of the card to his own specifications depending on other conditions/modification he has made.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KRISTY A. HAUPT whose telephone number is (571)272-8545. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kristy A Haupt/
Examiner, Art Unit 2876

/Michael G Lee/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2876